



# The Relationships Between Pain Perception and Quality of Life in Addicts

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article Type Original study

### Authors

Fateme Raiisi,<sup>1\*</sup> PhD

### How to cite this article

Raiisi F. The Relationships Between Pain Perception and Quality of Life in Addicts. IJMPP. 2020;5(2): 350-356.

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Cognitive Science, Shaid Chamran Bulv, Cognitive science Bvul.; Edalat Sq, Pardis city phase 4, Tehran, Iran..

### \* Correspondence

Address: Cognitive Science. Institute of Cognitive Science. Tehran, Iran.  
Tel: +982176291130  
Fax: +98 21 76291140  
P.O.Box: 1658344575  
Email: Elhamaraiisi@gmail.com

### Article History

Received: Jul 11, 2020  
Accepted: Jul 21, 2020  
ePublished: Aug 31, 2020

## ABSTRACT

**Aim:** Pain in addicts is a physiological and psychological variable that can affect Quality of Life (QOL). The purpose of this study was to investigate the relationships between pain perception and (QOL) in addicts.

**Method and Instrument:** This study has a descriptive – correlational method. In this cross-sectional study 100 addicts who were referred to addiction treatment centers and aged between 20 and 55 years old were studied. The sample was selected by purposive sampling method. They completed the WHO-QOL scale and McGill pain questionnaire. To test the hypotheses, Pearson correlation & multiple regression tests were used. Data were analyzed by SPSS-22.

**Findings:** The results of this study showed that there is a significant relationship between pain and QOL in addicts. According to the results of this study, 18.1% of the variance in QOL variables were explained by pain.

**Conclusion:** To conclude, it seems that addicts who percept more severe pain percept lower QOL. Therefore, it is possible to predict the QOL of addicts through their pain severity perception.

**Keywords:** Pain Perception, Quality of Life(QOL), Addicts.

## Introduction

Pain is an unpleasant sensory and emotional experience with actual tissue damage or damage to other kind of tissue [1]. In medical diagnosis, pain is considered as a symptom of an underlying situation [2]. Pain is created by stimulation of the peripheral or central nervous system [3]. Most pain resolves immediately after removing the painful stimulus or body heal, but sometimes it persists despite the removal of the stimuli and the physical appearance of the body, and sometimes due to lack of recognizable conditions, injury or pathology, the pain increases [4].

Pain is an important symptom and is significantly related to a person's Quality Of Life (QOL) and overall performance [5]. Quality of life is a highly subjective measure of happiness that is an important component of many

financial decisions [6]. The term (QOL) There is still no precise definition of QOL because this concept is very broad and has a different definition from time to time or place to place [7]. But some standard indicators of life such as income, educational and employment status, healthcare, etc. affect the QOL [8].

Two important reasons that indicate the relationship between QOL and pain are related to the significant relationship between these two variables and another factor that is more important than the first factor is related to the category of physical and psychological therapies treatment of pain [9]. Many studies have examined the relationships between pain & QOL in clinical/ non-clinical samples.

In a study on the QOL of chronic patients, the results showed that in addition to personality factors, pain is an important

factor that reduces the QOL of patients<sup>[10]</sup>. Another study found that the dimensions of QOL were affected by chronic pain<sup>[9]</sup>. In a study researchers showed that physical and psychological pain treatment increased the QOL in patients suffering from chronic pain<sup>[11]</sup>. Results of previous studies showed the multidimensional negative impact of chronic pain leads to poorer QOL among patients with chronic pain<sup>[12, 13]</sup>.

In recent years, interest in assessing the relationship between pain and QOL in addicts has been increased. Moreover, improving the daily functioning and QOL of addicts with different types of pain has become a goal to withdraw among addicts. There is an interrelationship between pain and QOL, and tendency to addiction and physical symptoms have a direct effect on all aspects of QOL<sup>[14]</sup>. On the other hand, the QOL and its components affect the addiction and its treatment. Accordingly, a study on adolescents showed that reducing their QOL has led to addiction and vice versa<sup>[15]</sup>.

A previous study showed physical and mental of Health-Related Quality Of Life (HRQOL) between addicts and healthy people differed significantly<sup>[16]</sup>. On the other hand, pain is an important factor of QOL among addicts. For example, existed evidences have shown that reducing pain in addicts could lead to increasing their QOL<sup>[17, 18]</sup>.

However, according to literature review, various studies have been conducted in different countries to determine the reason for the decrease in the QOL of addicts or that a low QOL may lead to addiction. It seems in Iran and other countries, studies in this field have often been conducted on different clinical and non-clinical samples as addicts and researchers have not found a link between the pain and QOL in addicts. Therefore, according to these reasons, the purpose of this study was to investigate the relationships between pain perception &

QOL in addicts.

## Method and Instruments

This study was a descriptive – correlational cross-sectional study in which 100 Addicts who were referred to addiction treatment centers (and aged between 20 and 55 years old) were studied. The statistical population of this study was selected through purposive method. In this study the following questionnaire were used to collect data.

### Instruments

**Demographic questionnaire** that includes gender, age, the duration of drug use, and so on.

**McGill Pain Questionnaire (MPQ):** The McGill Pain Questionnaire is the most widely used standard tool for measuring chronic pain. This questionnaire was developed by Malzak in 1997. It assesses both quality and intensity of subjective pain. In different countries, the validation of this questionnaire has been performed on different patients, such as cancer patients, patients with musculoskeletal pain. This questionnaire has 20 phrases that aim to measure people's perception of pain from different dimensions. Its four subscales are pain descriptors (questions from 1 to 10), affective components of pain (questions from 11 to 15, evaluation of pain question 16, miscellaneous) questions from 17 to 20. Scores are tabulated by summing values associated with each word; scores range from 0 (no pain) to 78 (severe pain). Qualitative differences in pain may be reflected in respondent's word choice<sup>[19]</sup>. Ferraz, et al (1990) reported test-retest reliability<sup>[20]</sup>. Lung et al reported concurrent validity as number of words chosen predicted by using standardized regression coefficients<sup>[21]</sup>. **WHO-quality of life scale (brief form):** This questionnaire was developed by the World Health Organization in 1999<sup>[22]</sup>. There are 26 questions for the QOL and two

general questions. This questionnaire deals with four dimensions of people's QOL, which are: 1- physical health, 2- mental health, 3- environment health & 4- Social health. For each dimension, a score from 1 to 5 is considered. Therefore, the scores of physical health dimension are between 7 and 35, mental health dimension is between 6 and 30, social relationship dimension is between 2 and 10, and finally the living environment dimension is between 8 and 40. This questionnaire has been translated into Persian by Nejat et al. (2006) used the simultaneous validity method to determine the validity of this questionnaire. The relationship between the total score of the test and the score of the subjects in the subscales of the present questionnaire was measured by the total score and the subscales of the general health questionnaire through the correlation coefficient [23]. The reliability and validity of the general health questionnaire calculated by Nejat et al. (2008) has been reported at the desired level [24].

Data were analyzed by using SPSS 22. To test the hypotheses, in addition to descriptive statistics such as Mean and Standard Deviation, Pearson correlation and multiple regressions were used. In this study, all ethical principles are respected. All participants were satisfied to be studied and signed the consent form. In this study all ethical principals were considered. Therefore, the aim and procedures of the research have been explained for the participants and all of them signed the consent form.

### Findings

In this study 100 addicts including 92 men and 8 women with mean age of 28.34 years old ( $SD=3.27$ ) The mean and standard deviation of addicts' response to research variables are given in Table 1.

To test sub-hypotheses of the research, using the Pearson correlation coefficient method, the correlation between predictor (pain) and

criterion variables (quality of life) was first evaluated and the coefficients obtained shows in Table 2. As the result, there is significant relationship between the variables of pain & QOL components (Table 2).

To test the main and specific hypotheses of the research, that is, predicting QOL based on pain, multiple regression analysis was used and the results showed that the obtained  $R^2$  value (0.181) means that 18.1% of variance of QOL is explained by pain. In other words, 18.1% of the observed dispersion in the QOL variable is explained by the variable of pain. The observed R value (0.27) also indicates that the linear regression model can be used for prediction. In addition, the calculated F ratio (12.32) is significant at a confidence level of 99%. Therefore, it can be concluded that there is a significant correlation between the variable studied and the QOL variable. As a result, evidence is sufficient to accept the main hypothesis of the research. By referring to *t* statistics and meaningful levels, it can be concluded that both variable of pain with QOL variable have a significant correlation. The sign of  $\beta$  coefficients showed that pain has a positive and significant correlation with QOL. Finally, according to these explanations and coefficients, the regression equation can be calculated based on the not standardized regression coefficients (Table 3)

### Discussion

The purpose of this study was to investigate the relationship between pain and QOL of addicts, and accordingly predict the QOL of this target group by pain. The results of this study showed that there was a significant correlation between the dimensions of pain and dimensions of QOL. This finding is consistent with the previous studies [10-12]. All these studies on different samples showed that pain is related to QOL and chronic pain reduces the QOL. Therefore, the evidence is sufficient for this assumption.

**Table 1)** Summary of statistical indicators related to participants' scores in variables (N = 100)

Variables	Components	Mean	Standard deviation
Pain	Pain descriptors	7.26	0.06
	Affective components of pain	3.67	1.09
	Evaluation of pain	11.16	2.20
	Miscellaneous	18.38	1.82
	Total score	40.47	5.17
Quality of Life	Physical health	8.89	1.26
	Mental health	10.13	1.85
	Living environment	5.91	0.82
	Social relationships	8.23	2.46
	Total score	33.16	6.39

**Table 2)** Summary of Pearson correlation coefficient test results among variables (N = 100)

Variables	Quality of life				
Components	Physical health	Mental health	Social relationships	Living environment	Total score
	R	R	R	r	R
Pain descriptors	0.317**	0.250**	0.275**	0.280**	0.359**
Affective components of pain	0.274**	0.422**	0.297**	0.397**	0.465**
Evaluation of pain	0.381**	0.302**	0.171*	0.248**	0.370**
Miscellaneous	0.259**	0.320**	-0.002	0.136	0.265**
Total pain score	0.265**	0.360**	0.160*	0.179*	0.331**

\* Significant at the level of 0.05    \*\*Significant at the level of 0.01

**Table 3)** Summary of regression analysis for predicting quality of life based on pain (N = 100)

Predictors	Dependent variable: Quality of life			
	Standardized coefficients	Not standardized coefficients	T	Sig
Constant number		10.03	2.82**	0.001
Pain		0.13	2.543**	0.022

\*\*R= 0.27 ;  $R^2$  = 0.18 ; Adjusted  $R^2$  = 0.17 ; F=12. 32 \* Significant at the level of 0.05 \*\*Significant at the level of 0.01

Pain catastrophizing is generally defined as excessive negative orientation towards pain/noxious stimuli [20]. High levels of pain catastrophizing were associated with disability, poor outcomes, and pain severity for patients with different problems [21]. One study found that addicts' QOL was lower than that of normal people [25]. Many studies show that low QOL of addicts and their background pain make their condition worse [26]. As a study showed chronic pain in people addicted to opium mediates the tendency to become addicted [27]. Therefore, pain and addiction tendencies, as a result of which QOL indicators decrease, are a complex and multifactorial problem and it cannot be attributed to a variable. This varies from person to person, from period to period and so on. In different studies, different factors of addiction have been studied. But it is not possible to say for sure that pain is addictive or anyone with chronic pain also has a tendency to become addicted.

Another finding of this study showed that there was predicted QOL dimensions based on pain in addicts. This result is consistent with the study of Rodriguez et al.[13] that the QOL of cancer patients was predicted by their pain and results of Prater et al.[14] that there was a significant relationship between the QOL dimensions, the severity of pain[17]. Moreover, this study verified that management of pain could increase in substance users and so there is a relationship between pain, addiction and psychological symptoms [17]. Therefore, the

evidence is sufficient for this assumption. In addicts, QOL is a determining factor for the amount of misuse, type of substance and continued use of the substance. However, a history of physical (i.e. Pain) and psychological illness (i.e. Low social support) can reduce the QOL of addicts and disrupt the treatment process for addicts [28]. Our finding of worse QOL in addicts with pain is not surprising since people with chronic pain have more different problems. This supports earlier studies showing that health-related QOL is worse in addicts with pain or chronic pain compared to the general population [29]. On the other hand, substance use may relieve pain, especially chronic pain, and this is what people tend to substance use especially opium and its derivatives, and this leads to an undesirable cycle.

Up to now, few studies have focused on the underlying causes of pain in relation to QOL [30]. Moreover, this study is not without limitations, including that this variable has not been investigated in larger samples with different and the sampling method was purposive. Therefore, it is recommended that future studies provide a more comprehensive study with a higher sample size and probabilistic sampling in order to generalized the findings. Finally, teaching addicts how to improve their QOL can help them to drug withdrawal and the pain caused by it. However, this study has just descriptive analytical method and so intervention was not applied for addicts.



## Conclusion

To conclude, it seems that addicts who percept more severe pain percept lower QOL. Therefore, it is possible to predict the QOL of addicts through their pain severity perception

**Acknowledgments:** The author hereby announce their gratitude and appreciation to all participants who contribute to this study.

**Author contribution:** FR, was corresponding author and methodologist of the study (%100).

**Interest of confident:** The author declares that there is no interest of confident for this study.

**Ethical permission:** In this study, all ethical principles were respected. The target and procedures of the study was explained for the participants and the consent form was signed by all participants.

**Funding/ Financial support:** No declared.

## References

1. Bonica JJ. The need of a taxonomy. *Pain*, 1979; 6 (3): 247-252.
2. Cervero F. *Understanding Pain: Exploring the Perception of Pain*. Cambridge, Mass, MIT Press. 2012.
3. Woolf CJ, Mannion RJ. Neuropathic Pain: Aetiology, Symptoms, Mechanisms, and Management. *The Lancet* 1999; 353 (9168): 1959-1064.
4. Raj PP. Taxonomy and classification of pain. In: Kreitler S, Beltrutti D, Lamberto A. et al. *The handbook of chronic pain*. New York: Nova Science Publishers Inc. 2007.
5. Breivik H, Borchgrevink PC, Allen SM, et al. Assessment of pain. *Br J Anaesth*. 2008;101(1):17-24.
6. Kearns R, Lee D. *General Psychology: An Introduction cover image General Psychology: An Introduction*. London; Independent, 2015.
7. Ahadi H, Rebaty A. *Health psychology: Fundamental Concepts in Health Psychology*. Tehran, Pendar Taban, 2017.
8. Anisman H. *Health Psychology*. Canada: SAGE, Carleton University, Ottawa, Canada, 2016.
9. Niv D, Kreitler S. Pain and Quality of Life. *Pain Pract*. 2001;1(2):150-61.
10. Azizabadi Farahani M, Assari S. Relationship Between Pain and Quality of Life. In: Preedy V.R., Watson R.R. (eds) *Handbook of Disease Burdens and Quality of Life Measures*. Springer, New York, NY. 2010.
11. Katz N. The Impact of Pain Management on Quality of Life. *JPSM*. 2002; 24(1): S38-S47.
12. Hadi MA, McHugh GA, Closs, SJ. Impact of Chronic Pain on Patients' Quality of Life: A Comparative Mixed-Methods Study. *J of Patient Exp*. 2019; 6(2), 133-141.
13. Rodriguez C, ANP-BC AOCN JM, Wang, HL, RN C, ACSM EC, Padhya, T, McMillan, SC. Cancer Pain and Quality of Life. *JHPN*, 2019; 21 (2): 116-123.
14. Prater CD, Zylstra RG, Miller KE. Successful Pain Management for the Recovering Addicted Patient. *Prim Care Companion J Clin Psychiatry*. 2002; 4(4): 125-131.
15. Sadeghi N, Davaridolatabadi E, Rahmani A, Ghodousi A, Ziaeirad M. Quality of life of adolescents and young people arrive at an addiction treatment centers upon their admission, and 1, 4 and 8 months after methadone maintenance therapy. *J Edu Health Promot*. 2017; 6:95
16. Movahedi Y, Karazi Notash H, Biglu M. The Comparison of physical and mental dimensions of health-related quality of life in addicts and healthy people. *IJRN*. 2015; 1 (2) :63-72 (Persian)
17. Raeesi-Dehkordi Z, Ghasab-Shirazi M, Golian-Tehrani S, Reisi M, Chaibakhsh S. The effects of methadone on depression, anxiety and quality of life in addicts. *Scientific Journal of Ilam University of Medical Science*, 2014; 22(2): 132-139. (Persian).
18. Hojati H, Alostani S, Akhonzade G, Heidari B, Sharifi naia SH. Investigating mental health and its relationship with quality of life in addicts. *Journal of Shaheed Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences, High Risk Behavior Conference*, 2010; 18 (3): 207-214. (Persian).
19. Melzack R. The McGill Pain Questionnaire: major properties and scoring methods. *Pain*, 1975; 1(3): 277-299.
20. Ferraz MB, Quaresma M R, et al. Reliability of pain scales in the assessment of literate and illiterate patients with rheumatoid arthritis. *J Rheumatol*, 1990; 17(8): 1022-1024.
21. Henschke N, Maher CG, Refshauge KM, Herbert RD, Cumming RG, Bleasel J, et al. Prognosis in patients with recent onset low back pain in Australian primary care: Inception cohort study. *BMJ*. 2008; 337(1): a171.
22. Mok LC, Lee IF. Anxiety, depression and pain intensity in patients with low back pain who are admitted to acute care hospitals. *J Clin Nurs*. 2008;17(11):1471-1480.
23. Skevington SM, Lotfy M, O'Connell KA. The World Health Organization's WHOQOL-BREF quality of life assessment: psychometric properties and results of the international field trial. A report from the WHOQOL group. *Qual Life Res*. 2004; 13(2):299-310.
24. Nejat S, Montazeri A, Holakouie Naieni K,

- Mohammad K, Majdzadeh S. The World Health Organization quality of Life (WHOQOL-BREF) questionnaire: Translation and validation study of the Iranian version. *SJSPH*. 2006; 4(4) :1-12.
25. Nedjat S, Montazeri A, Holakouie K, Mohammad K, Majdzadeh R. Psychometric properties of the Iranian interview-administered version of the World Health Organization's Quality of Life Questionnaire (WHOQOL-BREF): a population-based study. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2008; 8:61.
  26. Calsyn DA, Saxon AJ, Bush KR, Howell DN, Baer JS, Sloan KL, et al. The Addiction Severity Index medical and psychiatric composite scores measure similar domains as the SF-36 in substance-dependent veterans: concurrent and discriminant validity. *Drug Alcohol Depend*. 2004; 76(2): 165 -71.
  27. Aghayan S, Amiri M, Chaman R, Khosravi A. Quality of Life in Methadone Maintenance Treated Patients in Iran, *Int J High Risk Behav Addict*. 2015; 4(4): e22275.
  28. Weiss RD, Potter JS, Griffin ML, et al. Reasons for opioid use among patients with dependence on prescription opioids: the role of chronic pain. *J Subst Abuse Treat*. 2014; 47:140-145.
  29. Esfandabad HS, Nejadnaderi S, Emamipour S. Assessment of quality of life and psychological disorder symptoms among opium-dependent men on buprenorphine detoxification. *Procedia - Soc Behav Sci*. 2010; 5: 1396 -9.
  30. Morgan TJ, Morgenstern J, Blanchard KA, Labouvie E, Bux DA. Health-related quality of life for adults participating in outpatient substance abuse treatment. *Am J Addict*. 2003; 12:198-210.
  31. Assari S, Jafari M. Quality of Life and Drug Abuse. In: Preedy V.R., Watson R.R. (eds) *Handbook of Disease Burdens and Quality of Life Measures*. Springer, New York, NY. 2010.