Predicting Pain Catastrophizing based on Pain Anxiety Symptoms and Cognitive Flexibility in Cancer Patients


A B S T R A C T

Aims: The purpose of this study was to investigate the prediction of pain catastrophizing based on pain anxiety symptoms and cognitive flexibility in cancer patients

Method and Materials: In this cross-sectional study all cancer patients in Tehran in 2021-22 were the target population. Among this population 250 cancer patients were selected via cluster and at reach sampling method. Then the selected patients completed the Paknejad et al.`s Pain Anxiety Symptoms (2014), Sullivan et al.`s Pain Catastrophizing Pain (1995), and Dennis et al.`s (2010) Cognitive Flexibility Questionnaire. For data analysis, Pearson correlation and step by step regression analysis were used. Data were analyzed by SPSS-24.

Findings: There was a relationship between cognitive flexibility and pain anxiety symptoms with pain catastrophizing (P<0.01). The relationship between pain catastrophizing with pain anxiety symptoms was positive. However, there was a negative relationship between pain catastrophizing and cognitive flexibility. Moreover, catastrophizing pain could be predicted based on anxiety symptoms and cognitive flexibility.

Conclusion: To conclude, it seems in cancer patients some cognitive factors have important roles in pain catastrophizing as pain anxiety symptoms and cognitive flexibility.
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Introduction

Pain is a suffering condition that can depend on psychological factors, including personal, and mental and cognitive factors, and can be expressed in various ways. In another word cognitive factors play a major role in the expectancy and appraisal of pain. Pain catastrophizing as a cognitive variable refers to beliefs about pain and pain catastrophizing is characterized by cognitive-emotional regulation, pain worry, rumination, behavioral inhibition and activation about pain, and sensitivity to pain signs. According to appraisal theory, due to kinds of pain, our attention and information processing is changed. Studies indicated that there is a close relationship between neural pathways, cognitive and pain processing in healthy and none healthy people. There are high correlations between pain catastrophizing and its psycho-affective correlates of fear of pain and anxiety sensitivity. Finally catastrophizing of pain provides conditions that lead to the development or treatment of different kinds of pain.

Cognitive flexibility plays an important role in predicting different kinds of pain and its consequences, including pain anxiety. Cognitive flexibility refers to controlling difficult situations, multiple explanations for life events, and the ability to create multiple solutions for difficult situations. According to the theory of cognitive flexibility our learning depends on our context. In another word cognitive flexibility is a kind of executive function. Executive function is regulated in the prefrontal cortex and
anxiety impairs this region of the brain [14]. As a result pain and its anxiety can impair cognitive flexibility and learning [11].

It has been argued that many chronic kinds of pain are related to anxiety symptoms. Even, pain anxiety leads to unpleasant consequences and makes the disease worse [15]. Prevalence of anxiety is current in cancer patients. The pain anxiety symptoms interfere with chemotherapy and radiation therapy [16] and severe anxiety about these treatments may lead to withdrawal because high anxiety about the pain and consequences of treatment causes a lot of fatigue and strengthens the cessation of treatment [17].

Many studies have proven the correlation between pain and psychological variables. For example, a study showed, that anxiety about pain and its symptoms are related to psychological constructs such as pain perception and mental pain [10]. Another study indicated the correlation between pain anxiety and pain catastrophizing in patients with COVID-19 [19]. A systematic review showed; significant positive correlations with pain anxiety, pain catastrophizing subscales, and fear of pain in children and adolescents with chronic pain [20]. Pathways mediate the role of pain catastrophizing between anxiety and post-concussion symptoms among patients with mild traumatic brains [21]. A study demonstrated that lower cognitive performance was associated with higher pain severity, pain depression, pain anxiety, negative affect, alexithymia, and pain catastrophizing [22]. In this study, in addition to the psychological factors involved in pain and its extent, cognitive factors are emphasized. Because research has shown that physical pain can be catastrophic under the influence of mental and cognitive factors [7]. Most studies on pain focus on the psychological aspects of pain and less on the cognitive or mental aspects. Such studies draw researchers’ attention to the importance of cognitive factors in the processing and interpretation of pain and thus facilitate diagnosis and treatment. Based on this claim, the purpose of this study was to assess the relationship between pain anxiety symptoms and cognitive flexibility in cancer patients with pain catastrophizing.

**Method and Materials**

In this cross-sectional study all cancer patients in Tehran in 2021-22 were the target population. Via candidate and at reach sampling method 250 cancer patients completed the online questionnaires of this study via Telegram WhatsApp and Email. Then, data were analyzed by SPSS-24. The tools of this study included as following.

Demographic questionnaire included questionnaire regarding age, gender, kind of pain, marriage status, academic level, duration of illness, and so on.

**Pain Anxiety Symptoms Scale:** This scale was developed by Paknejad et al. and it has 40 items. Scoring is in the form of 6-point Likert. In the Iranian sample, exploratory factor analysis demonstrated 3 factors avoidance-escape, fear of pain, and physical symptoms. The correlation analysis with the TSK scale, PCS, scales of DASS-21, pain self-efficacy beliefs, and pain behavior questionnaire showed the convergent and divergent validity [23].

**Pain Catastrophizing Scale:** This scale was designed by Sullivan et al. This questionnaire has 13 items. This questionnaire is based on the Likert scale, which is scored from 1 to 5. Scores range from 13 to 65. It has three subscales; (a) rumination, (b) magnification, and (c) helplessness. In Sullivan et al.’s study, mental rumination accounted for 41% of the total variance, magnification for 10% of the total variance, and helplessness for 8% of total variance [24]. In Iran, the alpha coefficient for the subscales of mental rumination, magnification, and helplessness...
was 0.87, 0.60, and 0.79, respectively, and the total score of the Pain Catastrophizing Scale was 0.87\[25\].

Cognitive Flexibility Questionnaire: This questionnaire was invented by Dennis et al. This questionnaire has 20 items. This questionnaire is based on the Likert scale, which is scored from 1 to 7. It has two subscales; problem-solving process and perception of controllability. Dennis et al. reported the internal consistency of this questionnaire using Cronbach's alpha method for the whole questionnaire, perception of controllability, and problem-solving process; 0.91, 0.91, and 0.84, and they obtained 0.81, 0.77, and 0.75 respectively by retest method \[26\]. In Iran, the internal consistency of the total score of this questionnaire and its two factors, problem-solving process and perception of controllability, were obtained at 0.893 and 0.779 and 0.81 respectively \[27\].

Findings
In this study, 250 cancer patients (143 females and 107 males) participated. The mean age of participants was 46.09 years old (SD=6.25). The mean and standard deviation of patients' responses to research variables and correlational results are presented in Table 1. Accordingly, there is the relationship between pain catastrophizing and cognitive flexibility is negative. But the relationship between pain catastrophizing and pain anxiety symptoms are positive and significant (P<0.01). In other words, cancer patients' pain catastrophizing and pain anxiety symptoms has direct relationship. But the relationship between pain catastrophizing and cognitive flexibility is indirect (Table 1). As shown, the R² value obtained for cognitive flexibility is (0.24). This means that 24% of the variance in the rate of pain catastrophizing can be explained by cognitive flexibility. In other words, 24% of the variance observed in the pain catastrophizing variable is justified by this variable. The observed R-value (0.63) also indicates that the present linear regression model can be used for prediction. In addition, the calculated F ratio (3.402) is at least 99% significant at the confidence level. Therefore, it can be concluded that there is a significant correlation between the studied variable and pain catastrophizing. Furthermore, the value obtained for the pain anxiety symptoms (0.15) means that 15% of the variance of the pain catastrophizing variable can be explained by the pain anxiety symptoms. In other words, 15% of the observed scatter in the pain catastrophizing variable is justified by this variable. The observed R-value (0.82) also indicates that the present linear regression model can be used for prediction. In addition, the calculated F ratio (6.31) is at least 99% significant at the confidence level. Therefore, it can be concluded that there is a significant correlation between the studied variable and pain catastrophizing variable, and pain catastrophizing can be predicted based on these variables. Consequently, there is sufficient evidence to support these hypotheses (Table 2).

Table 1) Statistical indicators and correlation matrix between variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Standard deviation</th>
<th>Pain Catastrophizing</th>
<th>Cognitive Flexibility</th>
<th>Pain anxiety symptoms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pain Catastrophizing</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive Flexibility</td>
<td>157</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>-0.35**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain anxiety symptoms</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>0.64**</td>
<td>-0.51**</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(**) Significance at the level of 0.01
Discussion
The purpose of this study was to predict pain catastrophizing based on pain anxiety symptoms and cognitive flexibility in cancer patients. Accordingly, the results showed that there is a significant relationship between cognitive flexibility and Pain anxiety symptoms with the pain catastrophizing in cancer patients. The relationship between pain catastrophizing with pain anxiety symptoms is positive and cognitive flexibility is negative. This hypothesis was tested by Pearson correlation analysis and showed that these relationships are significant. Therefore, there is sufficient evidence to support this assumption. The results of this study are almost consistent with the studies of Raiisi et al.\[18\], Ghasemi et al.\[19\], and Fisher et al.\[20\]. In explaining this finding, we can say; that catastrophizing of pain is accompanied by mental rumination and a feeling of helplessness from the pain that leads to pain magnification. This process may exacerbate physical pain and the patient may avoid or avoid aggressive treatment. Because of cognitive factors, patients lose the power to control pain and cannot solve this problem\[30\]. There were several limitations of the study, the most important of which was the lack of cooperation of cancer patients and the cross-sectional nature of this study. Therefore, in future studies, it is suggested that researchers address cognitive variables and cognitive interventions in pain.

Conclusion
There is a relationship between cognitive flexibility and pain anxiety symptoms with pain catastrophizing. The relationship between pain catastrophizing with pain anxiety symptoms is positive but the relationship between pain catastrophizing and cognitive flexibility is negative. To conclude, it seems in cancer patients some cognitive factors have important roles in pain catastrophizing as well as pain anxiety symptoms and cognitive flexibility.
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Table 2) Regression model for predicting pain catastrophizing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Predictors</th>
<th>Non-standardized coefficient</th>
<th>Standardized coefficient</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>Sig</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cognitive Flexibility</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.36**</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain anxiety symptoms</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5.78**</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Cognitive flexibility: R:0.63/ R²: 0.24/F: 5.39
Pain anxiety symptoms: R: 0.82/ R²: 0.15/ F: 6.31
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