ISSN: 2476-5279; International Journal of Musculoskeletal Pain Prevention. 2024;9(1): 988-994.



# Status of the Musculoskeletal Disorders among Repairmen: Using Quick Exposure Assessment method

### ARTICLEINFO

### Article Type

Descriptive-analytical Article

#### Authors

Fatemeh Salimi <sup>1</sup>, MSc Elmira Mohammadi <sup>1</sup>, MSc Omran Ahmadi <sup>1</sup>, PhD

### How to cite this article

Salimi F, Mohammadi E, Ahmadi O. Status of the Musculoskeletal Disorders among Repairmen: Using Quick Exposure Assessment method. Int. J. Musculoskelet. Pain. Prev. 2024; 9(1): 988-994.

<sup>1</sup> Occupational Health and Safety Engineering, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Tharbiat Modares University.



#### \* Correspondence

Occupational Health and Safety Engineering, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Tharbiat Modares University. P.O. Box: 14115-331 Tel: +98 21 82884504 Fax: +98 21 82884555 Email: O.ahmadi@modares.ac.ir.

*Article History* Received: Dec 25, 2023 Accepted: Jan 1, 2024 ePublished: Mar 14, 2024

### ABSTRACT

**Aims:** Pain and discomfort caused by Work-related Musculoskeletal Disorders (WMSDs) are one of the most common health problems that are common in almost all jobs worldwide. Considering the importance of early prevention and treatment of these disorders, the purpose of this research is to investigate the prevalence of WMSDs and their risk factors among repair workers by using the Quick Exposure Check (QEC).

**Method and Materials**: In this descriptive-analytical study, 50 auto repairers in Sabzevar city were selected by the census method. The Nordic questionnaire was used to determine the prevalence of WMSDs, and the QEC posture assessment method was used to determine the risk level of suffering from these disorders.

**Findings:** According to the results of the Nordic questionnaire, 58% (N=29) of the studied subjects had pain and discomfort in at least one of the nine areas of the musculoskeletal system during the last 12 months. The highest rate was reported in the waist (46%, N=23), knee (34%, N=17), and shoulder (16%, N=8) regions. Furthermore, 84% (N=42) of people were in the 3rd and 4th priority level of corrective action based on the QEC method.

**Conclusion:** This study showed that skeletal-muscular disorders have the highest prevalence in the waist and knee areas. This means that paying attention to the risk factors of disorders related to these areas and removing them from the work environment can be an effective measure in improving work conditions and preventing these complications.

Keywords: Ergonomics, Musculoskeletal Disorder, Nordic Questionnaire, Repairmen, Quick Exposure Check (QEC)

### Introduction

Pain and discomfort caused by Musculoskeletal Work-related Disorders (WMSDs) are one of the common health problems that have become common in almost all jobs and countries <sup>(1-5)</sup>. These disorders may occur as a result of exposure to several stressful factors related to work, such as repetitive movements, improper posture. excessive pressure. moving heavy loads, working with manual vibrating tools, and local mechanical stresses <sup>(6-10)</sup>. Musculoskeletal disorders have serious effects on the human body and psychological stressors <sup>(11)</sup>. In the work environment, anger, anxiety, time pressures, and low social support are related. Excess risk factors for these disorders include individual characteristics such as gender, age, economic and social status, etc., which can cause serious hormonal and cardiovascular responses <sup>(12)</sup>. Psychological and

cause pain, sensitivity, swelling, or personal stress factors can also decrease and loss of function of hands, wrists, elbows, shoulders, neck, and back <sup>(13)</sup>.

According to a study conducted in Iran, musculoskeletal problems of the lower limbs among Iranian employees, especially back and knee problems, have a relatively high prevalence compared to other countries (14). In Iran, one of the main causes of disability and related costs is caused bv injuries. skeletal-muscular SO cumulative injuries caused by physical and mechanical factors account for 48% of work-related injuries <sup>(15)</sup>. Gennady et al. believe that MSDs are the main cause of damage to the human resources workforce, of the reducing productivity and economic losses, and that these disorders are the reason for one-third of compensation claims for workrelated problems (16). Therefore, to identify

Copyright© 2024, TMU Press. This open-access article is published under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial 4.0 International License which permits Share (copy and redistribute the material in any medium or format) and Adapt (remix, transform, and build upon the material) under the Attribution-Noncommercial terms

DOI: 10.22034/IJMPP.9.1.988

risk factors and exposed people, different methods are used <sup>(17)</sup>. Including the direct assessment method <sup>(18)</sup>, the self-report method, and observation methods.

Direct methods usually require special tools for measurement, such as electromyography or motion analysis devices, which increase the costs of evaluations. In self-report methods, the results may be influenced by the individual's objective reports<sup>(19)</sup>. From the point of view of occupational health and safety, it has been important to develop a simple tool for risk assessment and management of musculoskeletal disorders (20). Therefore, various observational methods are often used to identify the risk factors of musculoskeletal disorders related to work and also to evaluate the effects of ergonomic changes <sup>(21)</sup>. Rapid exposure assessment is a general observational method that was developed between 1996 and 1998 in the UK to assess exposure to WMSDs risk factors affecting the back, shoulder, arm, hand, wrist, and neck, as well as the vibration and stresses caused by the work <sup>(22)</sup>. This method is specifically designed to meet the needs of experts and ergonomists, and in scoring the tasks and completing the questionnaire, it involves the opinion of the evaluator and the worker at the same time. This method of exposure levels is used for different body postures, repetition of movements, force/ duration. load, and It estimates the performance of the task for different areas of the body. It has also been translated into several languages, but studies have shown that the original English version is practical and reliable in many jobs<sup>(23)</sup>. Also, in this study, the Nordic standard questionnaire was used to assess the risk of musculoskeletal disorders in nine anatomical areas of the body<sup>(24)</sup>. Considering the limitations of internal studies in car repair shop workers and considering the importance of early prevention and treatment of MSDs, this research aims to investigate the prevalence of MSDs among Sabzevar car repair shop workers based on the Quick Exposure Check (QEC) method and the Nordic standard questionnaire. Furthermore, due to the high prevalence of MSDs, accurate identification,

evaluation, and measurement of these risks can be helpful in the implementation of risk assessment programs and especially in ergonomic research.

### Method and Materials

The current research is a descriptiveanalytical study that was conducted in 2019 on the employees of the car repair shop who were selected by a census method. Workers who had at least one year of work experience were included in this study. The exclusion criteria included having congenital MSDs and musculoskeletal disorders caused bv accidents and second jobs. The instruments used were as follows: the Nordic questionnaire was used to determine the prevalence of MSDs. The QEC posture assessment method was used to determine the risk level of suffering from these disorders. The Nordic Standard Questionnaire has two general and specific sections. The first section aims at a general survey; questions are asked about people's age, height, weight, body mass index, level of education, work history, and marital status. BMI is calculated by dividing weight (kilograms) by the square of height (square meters) and according to the WHO standard, it is divided into four groups: underweight (<18.5), normal weight (18.5-24.9), overweight (25-9.29) and obese 30)<sup>(25)</sup>. In the specific questions section, information about the work environment, the person's duties, and the person's use of tools, as well as questions about the skeletal-muscular problems related to each person, has been asked. Questionnaires were filled out by the researcher in the form of a face-to-face interview with people referring to their workplace, and then, during work, the posture of the person was assessed. The translation, localization, and reproducibility of this questionnaire have been done by Mokhtari Nia et al. The results of the study showed that the ICC value of the Persian version of the questionnaire is higher than 0.7, the SEM value is between 0.56-1.76, and the range of the Kappa agreement coefficient is between 1. and 0.78 and these results indicate that this questionnaire with acceptable reproducibility can be used with high confidence in examining

### Status of the Musculoskeletal Disorders among .....

Iranian people<sup>(26)</sup>. The desired parameters in the QEC method will be recorded in one of the moments when a person has the worst posture. Of course, it should also be considered that this situation is perhaps the worst posture in our opinion, and it is in contradiction with the opinion of workers about the worst posture. For this reason, in this method, a person's mental responses and judgment about the task they perform are a part of the evaluation process. Rapid exposure assessment questionnaire identifies physical, organizational, and psycho-social risk factors. The checklist has 16 items that are placed in two columns. The first column, completed by the evaluator, includes the assessment of posture and movements of the back, shoulder, arm, wrist, hand, and neck areas. The second column is related to the worker's opinions about the maximum weight carried, the number of working hours that a person spends to perform that task during the day, the maximum force applied with one hand, the visual requirement of the task, the number of hours of work, the duration of exposure vibration that is how it matches the work and ultimately how stressful the work is. The obtained score can include the total score and the score obtained from the risk of specific factors. Based on this method, body parts are classified and coded according to the postures they may have. Then, in the next step, each of the given codes is placed in the scoring tables designed for the waist, shoulder, arm, wrist, hand, and neck sections. Finally, according to the overall score (total score of the four areas) and the contact percentage each working of posture independently for each body part (E), practical corrective measures and ergonomic intervention were carried out according to the following formula.

$$E(\%) = \frac{X}{X_{max}} \times 100$$

X: final score obtained for each body area exposure (waist, shoulder/arm, hand/wrist, neck)

 $X_{max}$ : The maximum possible score is for exposure of body areas and is a fixed coefficient, which is equal to 56 for the waist and shoulder/arm area, 46 for the wrist/hand

area, and 18 for the neck area.

The final score (percentage of exposure) in the whole body is calculated using the same relationship, in which case.

X: exposure score for the whole body obtained from the sum of the scores of the four body regions.

 $X_{max}$  The maximum possible score is for the whole body, and it is a fixed coefficient that is equal to 176 for manual load-carrying tasks and 162 for other tasks <sup>(27)</sup>.

This questionnaire was compiled in English in two stages. In the first phase, from 1996 to 1998, Li & Buckle developed it at the Rubens Center at Surrey Guildford University<sup>(28)</sup> And after the professionals used it for a period, in the second stage, its texts were re-examined and finally confirmed in 2007. David et al. developed this questionnaire <sup>(22)</sup>.

### Findings

The present study was conducted with the participation of 50 auto repairers in Sabzevar city of Iran. All participants (100%) were men. The demographic information of the surveyed people is presented in Table 1.

<b>Table 1)</b> Demographic information of the participants	
in the study	
Variables	

Variables					
Age (Yrs)	Mean ± SD	31.44±9.00			
	Minimum-	17			
	Maximum	56			
Work	Mean ± SD	12.24±5.13			
Experience	Minimum -	2			
	Maximum	25			
Weight	Mean ± SD	75.98 ±12.15			
	Minimum -	55			
	Maximum	130			
Height	Mean ± SD	176.56±6.50			
	Minimum-	160			
	Maximum	188			
Body Mass		N (%)			
Index (BMI)	18.5 -24.9	34 (68%)			
	25 - 30	14 (28%)			
	More than 30	2 (4%)			
		N (%)			
	High school	27 (54%)			
Level of Education	Diploma	20 (40%)			
Education	Associate degree	2 (4%)			
	Bachelor Degree	1 (2%)			
Smoking	Non-smoker	48 (98%)			
	Smoker	2 (4%)			
Marital	Single	15 (30%)			
status	Married	35 (70%)			

DOI: 10.22034/JJMPP.9.1.988

As can be seen from Table 1, the age range of people is between 17 and 56 years. It was also found that the majority of participants had a normal BMI, and about 28% of them were overweight, and the percentage of participants with obesity was negligible (less than 4% in total). Meanwhile, the highest frequency in the study population is related to work experience of 8-18 years (72%), and the frequency is related to lowest work experience of less than or equal to 4 years (4%). The minimum work experience in the studied community is 2 years, and the maximum work experience is 25 years. The rest demographic characteristic is shown in Table 1.

**Table 2)** Distribution of prevalence of musculoskeletal disorder symptoms in body parts of repairmen in the last year (n=50)

Body organ	With Disorder		Without Disorder	
	Ν	%	N	%
Neck	2	4	48	96
Shoulder	8	16	42	84
Elbow	2	4	48	96
Wrists and Hands	6	12	44	88
Back	3	6	47	94
Waist	23	46	27	54
Thigh	3	6	47	94
Knee	17	34	33	66
Leg	6	12	44	88

According to Table 2, based on the results of the Nordic questionnaire, the majority of the studied participants had pain and discomfort in at least one of the nine areas of the musculoskeletal system during the past 12 months, and only 42% had no disorders. The prevalence of MSDs was different in different areas of the body, and the highest and lowest prevalence was related to the waist, elbow, and neck areas.

Based on the results of QEC, the status of people regarding the level of MSD risk was determined. The distribution of people in the four levels of risk is shown in Chart 1. Accordingly, none of the studied people were at risk of ergonomic factors of MSDs. About 20% of people needed more investigations and ergonomic measures urgently.



**Fig 1)** The status of the risk level derived from the Quick Exposure Check method

### Discussion

Musculoskeletal disorders are considered to be one of the common diseases in various occupations, and considering the importance of workers' health and its impact on job productivity and society's economy, it is necessary to know the factors affecting it (29-<sup>31)</sup>. The purpose of this research was to evaluate the ergonomics of the risk of musculoskeletal disorders in repairmen. For this purpose, the prevalence of MSDs was extracted using the QEC method and the Nordic questionnaire. The findings indicate that repairmen are at a high level of risk for this problem because 58% of the studied participants had pain and discomfort in at least one of the nine areas of the musculoskeletal system during the past year. The most common symptoms of the disorders are related to the back, knee, and shoulder regions. This means that most of the repairmen are in a bent position and a lot of pressure is applied to the upper areas and knees while working. These results are consistent with the previous study, which aimed to investigate MSDs among workers of cement factory, because the highest а prevalence was related to the waist area, and the lowest prevalence was related to the elbow area. Therefore, it confirms the results of the present study <sup>(32)</sup>. The high prevalence disorders of skeletal-muscular in the mentioned areas, especially among repair workers, is inevitable. In an existing study, the most common skeletal disorders in assembly workers were in the neck, shoulders, elbows, wrists/hands, and the majority of them experienced pain or MSDS symptoms in at least one part of their body <sup>(33)</sup>. In a conducted study, the prevalence of disorders among car assembly line workers was 78.2% <sup>(34)</sup>. In another study in Iran by Ghasemkhani et al. in 2006, the most common musculoskeletal symptoms were in the leg (50%), back (47.4%), wrist/hand (30%), and the 12month prevalence of skeletal disorders in line workers car assembly was significantly more than MSDs symptoms in office workers in all nine parts of the body based on the Nordic questionnaire <sup>(35)</sup>. The relationship between demographic variables and the prevalence of MSDs (at least in one of the nine areas of the musculoskeletal system) is given in Table 3.

This study showed that there is a significant relationship between the average age and work experience with the prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders in the studied subjects (P-value<0.05). These findings are consistent with the previous study <sup>(36)</sup>. The prevalence of these complications increases with age and work experience because, with age, people become physically weaker, and also the conditions of the work environment expose people to more injuries. Furthermore, with the increase in work history and longwork in inappropriate working term the probability of workers conditions, suffering from skeletal-muscular iniuries increases. Finally, considering that the Nordic questionnaire has been standardized to record analyze skeletal-muscular and symptoms, it can be concluded that this questionnaire was also effective in the present study.

## Conclusion

The high prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders among repair workers is inevitable. Based on the findings of this study, it was found that the majority of the subjects of this study experienced musculoskeletal pain in at least one of their joints during the past year. Although various factors may be involved in the occurrence of these pains, according to ergonomists, posture is one of the most important factors in this field. The results of the present study showed that in most workstations, people have to work in such a way that they have an ergonomically inappropriate posture. The results of the evaluations obtained from the QEC method, according to the scores obtained for the whole body, showed the necessity of taking corrective measures soon or immediately in this industry. Moreover, skeletal-muscular disorders are most prevalent in the waist and knee areas. This means that paying attention to the risk factors of disorders related to these areas and removing them from the work environment can be an effective measure in improving working conditions and preventing these complications, and any control program should be focused on the risk factors related to these areas.

## Acknowledgment

The authors consider it necessary to appreciate and thank all the participants.

## Authors' Contribution

FS and FM designed and conducted all stages of the study and wrote the first draft of the manuscript. OA supervised the study and confirmed the manuscript.

## **Conflict of Interest**

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this manuscript.

## **Ethical Permission**

In this study, all ethical principles were considered. The written consent form was completed and signed by the participants.

## Funding

This research did not receive any grant from funding agencies.

## References

- 1. Buckle PW, Devereux JJ. The nature of work-related neck and upper limb musculoskeletal disorders. Appl. Ergon . 2002;33(3):207-17.
- 2. Da Costa BR, Vieira ER. Risk factors for workrelated musculoskeletal disorders: a systematic review of recent longitudinal studies. Am J Ind Med. 2010;53(3):285-323.
- 3. Widanarko B, Legg S, Devereux J, Stevenson M. The combined effect of physical, psychosocial/organizational and/or environmental risk factors on the presence of work-related musculoskeletal symptoms and its consequences. Appl. Ergon. 2014;45(6):1610-21.
- 4. Dianat I, Kord M, Yahyazade P, Karimi MA, Stedmon AW. Association of individual and work-related risk factors with musculoskeletal symptoms among Iranian sewing machine operators. Appl. Ergon. 2015;51:180-88.

### Status of the Musculoskeletal Disorders among .....

- Balogh I, Örbaek P, Ohlsson K, Nordander C, Unge J, Winkel J, et al. Self-assessed and directly measured occupational physical activities—influence of musculoskeletal complaints, age and gender. Appl. Ergon. 2004;35(1):49-56.
- 6. Asghari E, Dianat I, Abdollahzadeh F, Mohammadi F, Asghari P, Jafarabadi MA, et al. Musculoskeletal pain in operating room nurses: Associations with quality of work life, working posture, sociodemographic and job characteristics. Int. J. Ind. Ergon. 2019;72:330-37.
- 7. Westgaard R, Winkel J. Ergonomic intervention research for improved musculoskeletal health: a critical review. Int. J. Ind. Ergon.. 1997;20(6):463-500.
- 8. David GC. Ergonomic methods for assessing exposure to risk factors for work-related musculoskeletal disorders. Occup. Med.. 2005;55(3):190-99.
- 9. Devereux J, Vlachonikolis I, Buckle P. Epidemiological study to investigate potential interaction between physical and psychosocial factors at work that may increase the risk of symptoms of musculoskeletal disorder of the neck and upper limb. Occupational and environmental medicine. 2002;59(4):269-77.
- Lee DKS, Ng PK, Jee KS, Tan YH, Leong MP. A Review of Ergonomic Tools and Apparatus for the Ageing Population. J. Appl. Mech 2015; doi:10.4028/www.scientific.net/AMM.773-774.814
- 11. Kangarlou HR, Malekzadeh SH, Alizadeh K, Shamshiri B, Zarril S. The prevalence of musculoskeletal causes of disability retirement among air force personnel during 1371-1382. Annals of Military and Health Sciences Research 2006; 4(4):813-818
- 12. Eatough EM, Way JD, Chang C-H. Understanding the link between psychosocial work stressors and work-related musculoskeletal complaints. Appl. Ergon. 2012;43(3):554-63.
- 13. Sancini A, Capozzella A, Caciar T, Tomei F, Nardone N, Scala B, et al. Risk of upper extremity biomechanical overload in automotive facility. Biomed. Environ. Sci. 2013;26(1):70-75.
- 14. Parno A, Sayehmiri K, Mokarami H, Parno M, Azrah K, Ebrahimi MH, et al. The prevalence of work-related musculoskeletal disorders in the lower limbs among Iranian workers: a meta-analysis study. Iran Occupational Health. 2016;13(5):49-59.
- 15. Ghamari F, Mohammad BA, Tajik R. Ergonomic assessment of working postures in Arak bakery workers by the OWAS method. Journal of School of Public Health & Institute of Public Health Research. 2009;7(1):45-55
- Genaidy A, Al-Shedi A, Karwowski W. Postural stress analysis in industry. Appl. Ergon. 1994;25(2):77-87.
- 17. Sharafi N, Gharibi F, Khoubi J. Prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders and its relation to

working posture in Sanandaj hand-woven carpet weavers. Scientific Journal of Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences. 2014;19(4):1-9

- 18. Li G, Buckle P. Current techniques for assessing physical exposure to work-related musculoskeletal risks, with emphasis on posture-based methods. Ergon. 1999;42(5):674-95.
- Aarås A. The impact of ergonomic intervention on individual health and corporate prosperity in a telecommunications environment. Ergonomics. 1994;37(10):1679-96. doi: 10.1080/00140139408964945.
- 20. Cheng AS, So PC. Development of the Chinese version of the Quick Exposure Check (CQEC). Work. 2014;48(4):503-10.
- 21. Takala E-P, Pehkonen I, Forsman M, Hansson G-Å, Mathiassen SE, Neumann WP, et al. Systematic evaluation of observational methods assessing biomechanical exposures at work. 2010:3-24.
- 22. David G, Woods V, Li G, Buckle P. The development of the Quick Exposure Check (QEC) for assessing exposure to risk factors for work-related musculoskeletal disorders. Appl. Ergon. 2008;39(1):57-69.
- 23. Park H-S, Kim J, Roh H-L, Namkoong S. Analysis of the risk factors of musculoskeletal disease among dentists induced by work posture. J. Phys. Ther. Sci.. 2015;27(12):3651-4.
- 24. Shafeei A, Mokhtarinia HR, Maleki-Ghahfarokhi A, Piri L. Cross-cultural adaptation, validity, and reliability of the Persian version of the Orebro Musculoskeletal Pain Screening Questionnaire. Asian Spine J. 2017;11(4):520-530.
- 25. Anuurad E, Shiwaku K, Nogi A, Kitajima K, Enkhmaa B, Shimono K, et al. The new BMI criteria for asians by the regional office for the western pacific region of WHO are suitable for screening of overweight to prevent metabolic syndrome in elder Japanese workers. J. Occup. Health. 2003;45(6):335-43.
- 26. Mokhtarinia H, Shafiee A, Pashmdarfard M. Translation and localization of the Extended Nordic Musculoskeletal Questionnaire and the evaluation of the face validity and test-retest reliability of its Persian version. Iranian journal of ergonomics. 2015;3(3):21-29.
- 27. Jamshidi HR, Daneshmandi H, Haghayegh A. Survey prevalence of musculoskeletal disorders and risk assessment in paddy workers in marvdasht in 2013. J Neyshabur Univ Med Sci. 2015;3(1):57-65.
- 28. Li G, Buckle P. Evaluating change in exposure to risk for musculoskeletal disorders: A practical tool: Health and Safety Executive; 1999;44(30): https://doi.org/10.1177/154193120004403001
- 29. Kim-Fine S, Woolley SM, Weaver AL, Killian JM, Gebhart JB. Work-related musculoskeletal disorders among vaginal surgeons. Int Urogynecol J. 2013;24:1191-200.
- 30. Khudhir KM, Saleh KK, Qadir MS, Mahmood KA, Ariffin AA. Association between work-relate musculoskeletal disorder and ergonomic risk

factors among nursing professionals in ranya and qaladiza districts. Kurdistan journal of applied research. 2017;2(2):65-70.

- Akrouf Q, Crawford J, Al Shatti A, Kamel M. Musculoskeletal disorders among bank office workers in Kuwait. East. Mediterr. Health 2010 J, 16 (1), 94-100.
- 32. Rahmani R, Shahnavazi S, Fazli B, Ghasemi F. Ergonomic risk assessment of musculoskeletal disorders in a cement factory workers using QEC technique. Pajouhan Scientific Journal. 2020;18(2):64-72.
- 33. Nur NM, Dawal SZ, Dahari M. The prevalence of work related musculoskeletal disorders among workers performing industrial repetitive tasks in the automotive manufacturing companies. Proceedings of the 2014 international conference

on industrial engineering and operations management Bali, Indonesia; 2014.

- 34. AR A, Yazdani A, KS H, MY A. Association between awkward posture and musculoskeletal disorders (MSD) among assembly line workers in an automotive industry. Malaysian J. Med. Health Sci. 2014:23-8.
- 35. Ghasemkhani M, Aten S, Azam K. Musculoskeletal Symptoms Among Automobile Assembly Line VVorkers. J Appl Sci. 2006;6(1):35-9.
- 36. Abedini R, Choubineh AR, Soltanzadeh A, Ghiasvand R, Haghighi MK. Ergonomic evaluation of exposure to musculoskeletal disorders risk factors by Quick Exposure Check (QEC) technique in a metal structure manufacturing factory. Jundishapur Journal of Health Sciences. 2012;4(2):13-20.