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**Abstract**

**Aims:** Back pain significantly impacts healthcare providers, causing absenteeism, disability, and burnout. Improving self-efficacy is crucial for job performance and skill development, highlighting the need for targeted interventions to reduce burnout in healthcare settings. This study examines the effectiveness of a remote educational intervention based on self-efficacy theory in alleviating job burnout among healthcare workers with back pain in the Chalus health network.

**Methods and Materials:** This randomized clinical trial will proceed in three phases. The first phase involves a cross-sectional study to assess back pain, occupational burnout, and self-efficacy among participants. The second phase focuses on designing an electronic educational program. In the third phase, this program will be implemented for the target group. The educational program's impact will be evaluated at two time points: before the intervention and three months post-intervention. Data collection will utilize demographic checklists, Visual Analog Scale, Maslach Burnout Inventory, and Schwarzer's General Self-Efficacy Scale. Participants will be healthcare workers from the Chalus Health Network, randomized into intervention and control groups. Data will be analyzed using SPSS-23 with descriptive and analytical statistical tests.

**Conclusion:** The RESET program has significant public health implications, particularly in reducing burnout among healthcare workers suffering from back pain. By applying self-efficacy principles and remote education techniques, RESET can alleviate both physical and psychological stress. Implementing this program more broadly could enhance workforce well-being, job satisfaction, and the quality of patient care. The findings may also inform future public health initiatives aimed at improving occupational health among healthcare professionals.

**Keywords:** Remote Education, Burnout, Low Back Pain, Self-efficacy Theory, Health workers

**Introduction**

Pain is characterized as an unpleasant sensation and an emotional experience associated with actual or potential tissue damage, impacting overall quality of life and functioning [1, 2]. Among prevalent health concerns associated with work, low back pain (LBP) and occupational fatigue loom large. Occupational low back pain manifests with indicators of pain, discomfort, and restricted function localized below the T12 vertebra and above the buttock creases, excluding pathological changes like intervertebral disc herniation and vertebral fractures [3, 4]. Presently, back pain stands as a significant health dilemma within the spectrum of non-communicable diseases globally, rendering approximately 60.1 million individuals incapacitated annually from engaging in heavy physical tasks due to back pain [5]. The worldwide prevalence of occupational back pain among adults is estimated at about 40%, with an annual disease burden of approximately 38 percent [6]. Notably, the 2013 Global Burden of Disease Study underscores back pain and mental disorders among the top ten causes of disability-adjusted life years across 188 countries [7].

Certain occupational cohorts, particularly healthcare workers, face heightened vulnerability to LBP due to the intrinsic nature of their occupational responsibilities [8]. Studies have reported a pronounced prevalence of LBP within healthcare professions [9, 10]. Particularly concerning, a study documented that over 80% of healthcare workers endure chronic back pain [11], further exacerbating challenges within the healthcare sector. The societal costs, encompassing expenses linked with absenteeism and work disability arising from LBP and burnout, are substantial [12-14]. Ineffectively addressed physical and psychological challenges not only jeopardize the safety of healthcare providers but also culminate in adverse repercussions on the healthcare system's quality and safety, quality of life, and engender burnout. Recognizing burnout as an "occupational phenomenon," the World Health Organization classified it in the revised International Classification of Diseases 11 (ICD-11), positing it as a syndrome stemming from "chronic workplace stress not successfully managed" [15]. Job burnout manifests as a prevailing issue among healthcare workers due to their ongoing exposure to patient distress and the demanding care provision [16].

Central to individuals' professional efficacy is their belief in their abilities and aptitudes, encapsulated in the concept of self-efficacy. Self-efficacy emerges as a pivotal element for optimal task performance and acquisition of fundamental skills requisite for job competence [17]. Defined as an individual's conviction in their capacity to undertake behaviors necessary to achieve specific performance goals, self-efficacy embodies confidence in controlling one's motivation, conduct, and social milieu [18]. Bandura classifies four determinants that influence self-efficacy: mastery experiences, observing success in social models, nurturing beliefs in personal competence, and physiological and psychological states. Self-efficacy plays a crucial role in enhancing pain management and mitigating occupational burnout, as individuals with higher self-efficacy are more likely to engage in proactive coping strategies and seek effective solutions to their challenges.

Noteworthy is the instrumental role of web-based platforms in catering to individuals' needs and fostering support avenues beyond conventional working hours [19, 20]. Recent findings underscore the beneficial impact of web-based interactive interventions for patients grappling with diverse chronic conditions, empowering individuals towards improved health outcomes [21]. Substantial scientific evidence supports the efficacy of online platforms in enhancing health-related knowledge [22] and precipitating behavioral modifications [23].

Given the prevalence of low back pain and burnout among healthcare workers based on demanding work environments, increased workloads, and psychological stress experienced by health network personnel, the necessity for holistic interventions—addressing both physical and psychological dimensions—is acutely apparent. Moreover, organizational frameworks play a pivotal role in providing effective interventions to mitigate employee burnout. Comprehensive interventions include pain management techniques, ergonomic practices, exercise, and stress reduction strategies. Hence, this study aims to evaluate the efficacy of a remote educational intervention grounded in self-efficacy theory in alleviating burnout among healthcare professionals experiencing back pain.

Future research is essential to explore the long-term effects of remote educational interventions on self-efficacy and burnout among healthcare workers. This study aims to fill existing gaps in the literature by providing empirical evidence on the effectiveness of such interventions in alleviating occupational back pain and enhancing overall well-being. Additionally, further investigations could examine the scalability of these interventions across different healthcare settings and their impact on providing health services.

**Method and Materials** The study protocol is designed as a single-blind randomized controlled trial with the aim of developing and assessing a theory-based remote intervention for managing burnout among healthcare workers experiencing occupational low back pain. The protocol was adopted from the Declaration of Helsinki and has received ethical approval from the Human Ethics Committee at Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran (IIR.MAZUMS.IMAMHOSPITAL.REC.1402.102). To achieve the study objective, a series of phases outlined in Table 1 will be executed. Initially, a cross-sectional study will be undertaken in the first phase to examine the prevalence of back pain, burnout levels, and self-efficacy among the participants.

**Table 1.** The study overview

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Phases and participants | Aim | Methods |
| **Phase 1**Cross-sectional study | Examining back pain, job burnout and self-efficacy | Questionnaires (Demographic checklist, VAS, Maslach Burnout Inventory, General Self-Efficacy Scale-GSE) |
| **Phase 2**Design and development of interventions | Intervention group training | Based on social media |
| Evaluation of the program | Checklist |
| **Phase 3**Step 1: Implementation | Present educational content to the intervention group | Based on social media |
| Step 2: Follow up in 2-point of the time (Baseline, 3-months) | Identification of the impact of the program in the intervention group | Questionnaires |

In the subsequent phase, an electronic training program will be developed and reviewed by two health education specialists, two orthopedic specialists, and ten healthcare workers for content and execution feedback before its implementation. Incorporating feedback from both individuals and experts, the final program will be refined and readied for presentation.

Following this, the educational content will be rolled out for the target group in the third phase. The efficacy of the training program will be assessed at two-time points: pre-intervention and three months’ post-intervention. Prior to their involvement in the study, participants will be required to provide written informed consent. The study will be conducted within the Chalus Health and Treatment Network, with health workers forming the statistical population (Figure 1).
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**Figure 1:** CONSORT flow diagram

Excluded:

a) Having any disease,

b) Pregnancy,

c) Having back pain with any pathological cause,

d) Taking medicine to reduce back pain according to doctor's prescription for LBP.

Inclusion:

a) Male and female health workers,

b) Having non-specific back pain,

c) Internet access, and online services.

(n=44)

Baseline, 3 months
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Baseline measurements
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Allocated to Control Group

Select Subset of Individuals for Sampling

**Setting and Sampling**

The research will take place at the health center in Chalus city, with the participants selected from the healthcare workers within these facilities. Initially, a cross-sectional study will be conducted to provide an overview of the population, involving a complete enumeration of all individuals. Subsequently, a subset of these individuals will be sampled and randomly allocated into either the intervention or control group. Following this, an electronic educational program will be developed and implemented for the intervention group. The study will be conducted in a single-blind manner, where the researchers and assessors will be unaware of the group assignment. The grouping will be administered by a designated coordinator.

**Sample size**

The study begins with a cross-sectional examination aimed at characterizing the population, where a full examination of all individuals is conducted. Subsequently, the focus shifts to evaluating the impact of an educational intervention on reducing job burnout. For this phase, individuals are randomly chosen from the population and then allocated randomly into either the intervention or control group. The necessary sample size is determined by referencing a comparable study [24] using the formula below. Consequently, to address potential individual variance (by selecting the maximum sample size based on this aspect), an analysis is conducted considering the findings from the aforementioned study, where the mean values for the intervention group and control group are 21.61 and 24.69 respectively, with corresponding standard deviations of 4.44 and 5.32. With a confidence level set at 95% (z=1.96) and a test power of 80% (z=0.84), the calculated sample size is 40 individuals per group. Accounting for a 10% attrition rate, the final sample size is determined to be 44 individuals for each group.
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**Instruments for Data Collection**

The data collection instruments for this study include a checklist of demographics, a Visual Analog Scale (VAS) for back pain assessment, the Maslach Burnout Inventory, and Schwarzer's General Self-Efficacy Scale (Schwarzer & Jerusalem).

**Checklist of Demographics:**

The demographic checklist covers variables such as age, gender, marital status, number of children, work experience, and education level.

**Visual Analog Scale for Back Pain:**

The Visual Analog Scale (VAS) is a widely used method for assessing pain intensity based on behavioral cues [25]. Participants rate their pain level on a 10 cm horizontal line, where 0 represents "no pain" and 10 indicates "worst pain imaginable" [26]. The reliability and validity of the VAS for pain assessment have been established in previous research [27-29]. Given its simplicity and effectiveness, the Persian version of the VAS will be employed in this study.

**Maslach Burnout Inventory:**

The Maslach Burnout Inventory is a commonly utilized tool for measuring burnout across various professions. It comprises 22 items, with questions covering emotional fatigue, depersonalization, and personal ineffectiveness. Participants rate the frequency of these feelings on a scale from 0 (never) to 6 (every day). Higher scores on this inventory indicate greater levels of job burnout. The reliability of the Maslach Burnout Inventory has been demonstrated using Cronbach's alpha, with a reported value of 0.89 for the overall scale [30, 31].

**Schwarzer's General Self-Efficacy Scale:**

Schwarzer and Jerusalem developed the General Self-Efficacy Scale to assess general and social self-efficacy. The scale was revised in 1981, reducing the number of statements to 10. Scoring on this scale ranges from 1 (completely wrong) to 4 (completely right), with total scores ranging from 10 to 40. Self-efficacy levels are categorized as low (10-20), medium (21-30), and high (above 30) [32]. The reliability of this scale, as indicated by internal consistency using Cronbach's alpha, has been reported as 0.73 by Akbari Balutbangan [33].

**Inclusion and exclusion criteria**

The inclusion criteria in this study are as follows: a) Male and female health workers, b) having non-specific back pain, c) Internet access, and online services.

Exclusion criteria include: a) having any disease that prevents the person from participating in the study, b) pregnancy, c) having back pain with any pathological cause, d) taking medicine to reduce back pain according to doctor's prescription.

**Educational Intervention**

Prior to implementing the intervention, an educational program will be developed based on the self-efficacy theory and undergo pre-testing. During the pre-testing phase, the educational program will be shared with two health education specialists, two orthopedic specialists, and ten healthcare workers for content and execution evaluation. Feedback from both individuals and experts will be solicited and integrated into the program as necessary, leading to the finalization of the program for presentation. The educational content will encompass a wide range of topics, including general information, ergonomic principles, back stretching and strengthening exercises, self-efficacy enhancement strategies, job fatigue, and its determinants, as well as coping mechanisms for job-related fatigue and stress reduction strategies.

Subsequently, the educational intervention will be delivered via social media Over a 5-day period over two consecutive weeks, with one-hour sessions scheduled daily for the target audience. Following the conclusion of the intervention, weekly reminder messages will be sent to participants either through social media platforms or text messages. The effectiveness of the educational program will be assessed three months’ post-intervention. In contrast, the control group will not receive any form of training during this period. At the conclusion of the three-month follow-up and the project, the educational content will be extended to the control group for their benefit.

**Outcome variables**

The main purpose of this study is to reduce burnout will be assessed by using a Maslach burnout questionnaire. Secondary outcomes will include improving self-efficacy and reducing low back pain will be assessed using General self-efficacy and VAS questionnaires respectively.

**Statistical Analysis**

The study begins with a cross-sectional examination aimed at characterizing the population, where a full examination of all individuals is conducted. Subsequently, the focus shifts to evaluating the impact of an educational intervention on reducing job burnout. For this phase, individuals are randomly chosen from the population and then allocated randomly into either the intervention or control group. The necessary sample size is determined by referencing a comparable study (n=50) using the formula below. Consequently, to address potential individual variance (by selecting the maximum sample size based on this aspect), an analysis is conducted considering the findings from the aforementioned study, where the mean values for the intervention group and control group are 21.61 and 24.69 respectively, with corresponding standard deviations of 4.44 and 5.32. With a confidence level set at 95% (z=1.96) and a test power of 80% (z=0.84), the calculated sample size is 40 individuals per group. Accounting for a 10% attrition rate, the final sample size is determined to be 44 individuals for each group.

**Discussion and Conclusions**

One key characteristic of this research is its emphasis on enhancing workplace health. The study is designed to assess the effectiveness of remote educational interventions in reducing job burnout among healthcare personnel suffering from occupational low back pain. The primary framework of this investigation will involve implementing a theory-driven and evidence-based strategy to develop and deliver an interactive intervention using social media platforms specifically targeted towards healthcare workers. This approach aims to address the unique challenges faced by healthcare professionals experiencing job-related stress and burnout, with the ultimate goal of promoting well-being and resilience within the healthcare workforce. The utilization of a theory-driven and evidence-based approach reflects the commitment to rigor and efficacy in designing interventions tailored to the specific needs and contexts of healthcare settings.

**Strengths and limitations of the study:**

Some of the strengths and weaknesses that may exist in the study:

**Strengths:**

1. Innovative Approach: Utilizing a distance learning intervention for healthcare workers with occupational low back pain can offer a novel and potentially effective method for addressing job burnout issues.

2. Targeted Intervention: Focusing on healthcare workers with occupational low back pain ensures that the intervention is tailored to a specific population facing unique challenges, which may enhance its effectiveness.

3. Theoretical Foundation: Grounding the intervention in self-efficacy theory provides a solid theoretical framework for understanding and potentially improving job burnout in healthcare workers.

**Limitations:**

1. Methodological Challenges: Implementing a distance learning intervention may pose methodological challenges related to participant engagement, adherence, and data collection, impacting the validity of the results.

2. Confounding Variables: Other factors influencing job burnout, such as workplace environment or organizational culture, may not be fully controlled for, potentially confounding the results of the study.

Addressing these weaknesses through robust study design, careful consideration of confounding variables, and transparent reporting of methods and results can strengthen the research on the effectiveness of a distance learning intervention based on self-efficacy theory in reducing job burnout among healthcare workers with occupational low back pain.

This study intends to conduct a 6-month follow-up, if possible, to answer the following question: Will a remote intervention have a long-term impact, or will its effects diminish over time?
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