



Physical Activity Level in Two Groups of Patients with Chronic Low Back Pain

Azam Goodarzi^{1*}, Aliakbar Torabi,² Aarmeidokt Safari Kermakshahi³

1. Super Expert in Educational Planning of the Health Bureau for the Iran's Ministry of Education, Tehran, Iran.
2. Supervisor in International Affairs and Mental Health for Deputy of Ministry of Education, Tehran, Iran.
3. Expert of Health Education for the Health Bureau for the Iran's Ministry of Education, Tehran, Iran.

Background: Sport and exercise therapy can be used for low back pain's prevention. This research was aimed to evaluate the level of functional disability, pain intensity difference between the two groups of patients with chronic low back pain.

Methods: this study was a cross-sectional-applied research conducted from December 2015 to March 2016 on the employed (N = 50) and nonemployee people (N = 40) suffering from chronic low back. Physical activity level and demographic properties in all subjects were measured by Baecke physical activity questionnaire. The data were analyzed using SPSS software version 16.

Results: Totally, two groups employees (N = 50) with an average age of 45.14 ± 0.85 years old and the non-employee (N = 40) people with an average age of 45.42 ± 0.98 years old took part in the study. No significant differences was observed between both groups on such variables as age, weight, height ($P > .05$). Significant difference was observed between the mean body mass indexes between two groups so that among the employed group was more than the non-employed ($p < .05$). Significant difference was observed about the physical activity ($p < .05$) and exercise ($p < .05$) between two mentioned groups in free time.

Conclusion: This study revealed the different groups of people who have different jobs may be different due to physical activity.

Keywords: Low back pain, Physical activity, Baecke physical activity questionnaire

Introduction

Low Back Pain (LBP) are considered as the most common public health problems worldwide (Hoy et al., 2010; Mousavi et al., 2011) which leading to physical disability among people aged less than 45 years old (Andersson, 1999). The frequency of this problem has been reported from 14.4% to 85% during whole life time in different countries (Mousavi et al., 2011; Andersson, 1999; Diamond & Borenstein, 2006). LBP is a grate

costly health problem which are imposed on societies and governments in each country (Hoy et al., 2010; Mousavi et al., 2011; Andersson, 1999; Dunn & Croft, 2004). Despite high prevalence rate of LBP among people, the majority of it improves less than 3 months (Mousavi et al., 2011; Andersson, 1999; Diamond & Borenstein, 2006).

Inevitably, most costs would be imposed on the societies by these individuals who suffering from low back pain, so several researches have been conducted on preventing from this problem. In addition, LBP as a bio psychosocial injury is considered as a multidimensional subject that different factors may play a role in its incidence and retention (Hoy et al., 2010; Dunn & Croft, 2004; O'Sullivan, 2005). Thus, it is essential to identify the causes of LBP for appropriate prevention (O'Sullivan, 2005). The work-related LBP is a large part of these disorders (Diamond

Corresponding author: No 11, Super expert in educational planning of the health bureau for the IRAN's ministry of Education. P. O. Box: 1411713137, Tel: 00982188310061; Fax: 009821828845474; E-mail: azamgoodarzi98@yahoo.com

Access this article online

Website: ijmpp.modares.ac.ir

DOI:



& Borenstein, 2006). The incidence and intensity of work-related disorders are indicated by special physical activity, and their symptom intensity decreased by resting so that the role of work-related factors are obvious. These LBP disorders can be prevented by understanding and identifying work-related factors exactly in order to help people in the prevention and to apply appropriate prevention strategies. As different jobs may cause different activities during daily life, this study investigated the physical activity level among the two groups of employed and non-employed people suffering from LBP.

Methods

The present study is a cross sectional research. In this study, a total of 90 employed and non-employed people were recruited from November 2015 to March 2016. Of these, 50 subjects were employed and 40 subjects were non-employed who were suffering from LBP. The employed subjects were working in ministry of Ministry of Science, Research, and Technology. Non-employee people were selected from general population and were working like employees about 8 hours a day.

The employed with chronic LBP who had participated in previous screening program conducted in 2014, were invited by two methods: 1) sending official messages, 2) collaborative invitation. The participants were assessed by supported facilities like getting BMI and free of charge referring to the nutritionist specialist. To determine the Body Mass Index (BMI), Heymsfield software version 1996 was used, which classified the participants in five categories: thin (BMI less than 18.5), normal (BMI 18.5-24.99), overweight (BMI between 25 and 29.99), obese (BMI between 30 and 39.99) and morbidly obese (BMI between 40 and more). The entrance criteria for participants to be included in this study were as having LBP for at least three months continuously or chronic intermittent pain with 8 work hours a day and at least being high school graduates. Exclusion criteria were as suffering from a tumor and/or infection in their back, the presence of kyphosis and scoliosis identified in the spine, spinal canal stenosis, vertebral fracture, disc herniation, and degenerative changes associated with previous surgery, hip and knee joint pain, joint damage, serious problems and severe neurological

diseases. Primarily the research objectives were explained for all of the participants, and they were requested to read and response questionnaire items exactly. The Baecke questionnaire as an international standard questionnaire was translated by scientific centers like Tehran University of Medical Sciences and used to determine the levels of physical activity of the participants (Baecke, Burema & Frijters 1982). The response scale for the items was according to Likert scoring method. Cronbach Alpha coefficient of reliability method was calculated to determine the reliability of the questionnaire, the value of 0.79 was achieved for the items internal consistency on Baeck physical activity questionnaire. After filling the questionnaires, the data were entered into the SPSS software and analyzed. The Smirnov test was used to determine the normality of variables distribution, and for comparing mean values related to the two groups on the Baecke questionnaire, independent t-test was used with a significance level of $p < 0.05$.

Results

Totally, two groups employees (N = 50) with an average age of 45.14 ± 0.85 years old and the non-employee (N = 40) people with an average age of 45.42 ± 0.98 years old took part in the study. Significant differences was observed between two groups regarding BMI ($P = 0.006$). Average BMI of employed subjects was higher than the other group. However, no significant difference was observed between two groups regarding variables such as age, height, and weight of the participants ($P > 0.05$). The Mean \pm SD of employees' age, height, weight, and BMI were 45.14 ± 0.85 year, 1.70 ± 9.46 m, 79.94 ± 11.7 kg, and 27.5 ± 3.25 , respectively. However, these measurements among the other groups were 45.42 ± 0.98 year, 1.72 ± 7.75 m, 76.77 ± 13.5 kg, and 25.5 ± 3.43 , respectively (Table 1).

No significant difference was observed regarding physical activity at their work place between two groups ($P = 0.1$). However, a significant difference was observed regarding physical activity ($P < 0.05$) and exercise time ($P < 0.05$) between the two groups in free time. Generally no significant difference was observed regarding physical activity index between the two groups due to Baecke questionnaire ($P = 0.19$) (Table 2).

Table 1. Demographic characteristics of the both groups of participants.

Variables	Mean Difference (CI: 95%)	Employees(N = 50) Mean	Sellers(N = 40) Mean	P-value
Age (Y)	-2 (-.67- .10)	.85 ±45.14	98 ± 45.42	df= 88 P= .13
Height (m)	-2.8 (-6.5-.81)	9.46 ± 1.70	7.57 ± 1.72	df= 88 P= .126
Weight	3.6 (-2.12-8.45)	11.7 ± 79.94	13.5 ± 76.77	df= 88 P= .23
BMI	1.97 (.569-3.382)	3.25 ± 27.53	3.43 ± 25.56	df= 88 P= .006*

Table 2. Comparison between two groups of the participations in terms of physical activity

Physical Activity Variable	df	P-value	Mean Difference (%95CI)	Sellers Mean (N = 40)	Employees Mean (N = 500)
Workplace	88	.11	-1.15 (-2.56-.26)	23.25 ± 3.73	22.1 ± 3.03
Free time	88	.01*	1.99 (.48-3.49)	18.94 ± 3.89	16.95 ± 3.08
Exercise Time	88	.01*	.72 (.32-1.11)	2.32 ± 1.01	1.60 ± .84
Physical Activity Index	88	.19	1.63 (-.84-4.11)	43.56 ± 6.07	41.92 ± 5.64

Discussion

This research was aimed to evaluate the level of functional disability, pain intensity difference between the two groups of patients with chronic low back pain.

The results of this study are consistent with some previous studies' findings due to the relationship between chronic LBP and physical activity (Kahle, 2009; Kinzey & Armstrong, 1998; Carpes, Render & Mot, 2011; Moon et al., 2013; Johnson, 2012; HosseiniFar, Akbari & Shahrakinasab, 2009; Ezzati et al., 2012; Nezhad Roomezi & Rahnama, 2012; Rostami et al., 2014). As previous studies showed physical activity could increase the muscles power around the column vertebra and decreases the ligaments and vertebral joints tension. Furthermore, physical activity could maintain the vertebra in natural (Rosenstiel & Keefe 1983). This study showed no significant difference was observed between the two LBP groups during their working but significant difference was observed between the two groups in their free time and physical activity as their doing exercise. This difference in the none-employed group was more significant than other group and was considerable in its quantity. In addition, the different risk factors may play important role in the amount of LBP in patients, which must be recognized (Guclu et al., 2012). The relationship between LBP and the amount of physical activity is considered as an important matter for

researchers and different studies (Peters, Vlaeyen & Weber, 2005; Crombez et al., 1999; Gheldof et al., 2010; Grotle et al. 2004; Woby et al., 2007; Mannion et al., 2001). There are differential results about the amount of physical activity among the individuals with LBP so that high relationship has been observed between LBP intensity increasing and the physical activity decreasing. The LBP increasing results in the physical activity decreasing (Peters, Vlaeyen & Weber, 2005; Mannion et al., 2001; Goodarzi, Torabi & Safari kermanshahi 2016).

In this study no significant difference was observed in physical activity in work place among the two groups, it may be as a result of the common work time (about 8 hours) and the limited time for physical activity while the none-employed group's physical activity was more than employees group because of their more free time. Therefore, the LBP intensity in employed was more than the other group (Goodarzi, Torabi & Safari kermanshahi, 2016).

It seems that increase in recreational physical activities and also participation in exercise programs can reduce the individuals' back pain through increasing positive physiological effects such as fitness level (Hartvigsen & Christensen, 2007) and also reduced paid attention to the LBP among the LBP suffering people as a coping strategies (Turner & Clancy, 1986; Rosenstiel & Keefe, 1983).

Conclusions

This study revealed the different groups of people who have different jobs may be different due to physical activity.

Conflict of Interest

There is no conflict of interest for this article.

Acknowledgement

The authors, would like to thank all who helped us in this study. Special thanks to all administrators who supported this study to be accomplished.

Authors ' contribution

AG: Conducted whole study and had full access to all of the data for analysis. Also she was involved in drafting the article

AT: Assessed the patients and confirmed their eligibility for the study. He took responsibility for conducting the study and the integrity of the data and the accuracy of the data collection.

ASK: Participated in conducting the study. All authors approved the final version of the manuscript.

Funding/Support

No Declared.

References

Hoy, D., Brooks, P., Blyth, F. & Buchbinder, R. (2010) The Epidemiology of low back pain. *Best Practic & Research in Clinical Rheumatology*, 24 (6), 769-81.

Mousavi, S. J., Akbari, M. E., Mehdian, H., Mobini, B., Montazeri, A., Akbaria, B., et al. (2011) Low back pain in Iran: a growing need to adapt and implement evidence-based practice in developing countries. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*, 36 (10), 638-46.

Andersson, G. B. (1999) Epidemiological features of chronic low-back pain. *Lancet*, 354 (9178), 581-5.

Diamond, S. & Borenstein, D. (2006) Chronic low back pain in a working-age adult. *Best Practic & Research in Clinical Rheumatology*, 20 (4), 707-20.

Dunn, K. M. & Croft, P. R. (2004) Epidemiology and natural history of low back pain. *Eura Medicophys*, 40 (1), 9-13.

O'Sullivan, P. (2005) Diagnosis and classification of chronic low back pain disorders: maladaptive movement and motor control impairments as underlying mechanism. *Manual Therapy*, 10 (4), 242-55

Baecke, J. A., Burema, J. & Frijters, J. E. (1982) A short questionnaire for the measurement of habitual physical

activity in epidemiological studies. *The American journal of clinical nutrition*, 36 (5), 936-942.

Stankovic, A., Lazovic, M. & Zlatanovic, D. (2008) Spinal segmental stabilization exercises combined with traditional strengthening exercise program in patients with chronic low back pain. *Journal of Acta Facultatis Medicae Naissensis*, 25 (3), 70-165.

Kahle N. (2009) *The effects of core stability training on balance testing in young, healthy adults. As partial fulfillment of the requirements for the bachelor of science degree with honors in exercise science.* Toledo: University of Toledo.

kinzey, S. J. & Armstrong, C. W. (1998) The reliability of the star-excision test in assessing dynamic balance. *Journal of Orthopaedic & Sports Physical Therapy*, 27 (5), 356-360.

Carpes, F. P., Render, F. B. & Mota, C. B. (2011) Effects of strengthening on low back pain and body balance. *Thera-Band*, 1-4.

Moon, H. J., Choi, K. H., Kim, D. H., Choi, Y. J., Leek, K. H., Cho, Y. K., et al. (2013) Effect of lumbar stabilization and dynamic lumbar strengthening exercises in patients with chronic low back pain. *Annals of Rehabilitation Medicine*, 37 (1), 110-117.

Johnson, J. (2012) Functional rehabilitation of low back pain with core stabilization exercise: suggestions for exercise and progressions in athletes. Health and Human Movement. *Utah State university, UT.*

Hosseinifar, M., Akbari, A. & Shahrakinasab, A. (2009) The effects of McKenzie and lumbar stabilization exercises on the improvement of function and pain in patients with chronic low back pain: a randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Shahrekord University of Medical Science*, 11 (1), 1-9.

Ezzati, K., Karimi, N., TlymKhani, E., Ismail, K. & Sherafti, Sh. (2012) The effects of supervised core stability training on clinical symptoms range of motion, and endurance of lumbar muscles in female patients with non specific chronic low back pain. *Journal of Vandad*, 2 (1), 23-30.

Nezhad Roomezi, S. & Rahnama, N. (2012) The effect of core stability training on pain and performance in women patients with non-specific chronic low back pain. *Journal of Research in Rehabilitation Sciences*, 8 (1), 59-60.

Rostami, M., Noorian, N., Mansournia, M. A., Sharafi, E., Babaki, A. E. & Kordi, R. (2014) Validation of the Persian version of the fear avoidance belief questionnaire inpatients with Low Back Pain. *Journal of Back Musculoskeletal Rehabilitation*, 27 (2), 213-21

Rosenstiel, A. K. & Keefe, F. J. (1983) The use of coping strategies in chronic low back pain patients: relationship to patient characteristics and current adjustment. *Pain*, 17 (1), 33-44.

Guclu, D. G., Guclu, O., Ozaner, A., Senormanci, O. & Konkan, R. (2012) The relationship between disability, quality

of life and fear-avoidance beliefs in patients with chronic low back pain. *Turkish Neurosurgery*, 22 (6), 724-731.

Peters, M. L., Vlaeyen, J. W. & Weber, W. E. (2005) The joint contribution of physical pathology, pain-related fear and catastrophizing to chronic back pain disability. *Pain*, 113 (1-2), 45-50.

Crombez, G., Vlaeyen, J. W., Heuts, P. H. & Lysens, R. (1999) Pain-related fear is more disabling than pain itself: evidence on the role of pain-related fear in chronic back pain disability. *Pain*, 80 (1-2), 329-39.

Gheldof, E. L., Crombez, G., Van den Bussche, E., Vinck, J., Van Nieuwenhuysse, A., Moens, G., et al. (2010) Pain related fear predicts disability, but not pain severity: a path analytic approach of the fear-avoidance model. *European Journal of Pain*, 14 (8), 870. 1-9

Grotle, M., Vollestad, N. K., Veierod, M. B. & Brox, J. I. (2004) Fear-avoidance beliefs and distress in relation to disability in acute and chronic low back pain. *Pain*, 112 (3), 343-52.

Woby, S. R., Roach, N. K., Urmston, M. & Watson, P. J. (2007) The relation between cognitive factors and levels of pain and disability in chronic low back pain patients presenting for physiotherapy. *European Journal of Pain*, 11 (8), 869-77.

Mannion, A. F., Junge, A., Taimela, S., Muntener, M., Lorenzo, K. & Dvorak, J. (2001) Active therapy for chronic low back pain: part 3. Factors influencing self-rated disability and its change following therapy. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*, 26(8), 920-9.

Goodarzi, A., Torabi, A. & Safari kermanshahi, A. (2016) Pain intensity and disability among governmental employees and sellers suffering from chronic low back pain. *International Journal of Musculoskeletal Pain Prevention*, 1 (2), 75-79.

Hartvigsen, J. & Christensen, K. (2007) Active lifestyle protects against incident low back pain in seniors: a population-based 2-year prospective study of 1387 Danish twins aged 70-100 years. *Spine (Phila Pa 1976)*, 32 (1), 76-81.

Turner, J. A. & Clancy, S. (1986) Strategies for coping with chronic low back pain: relationship to pain and disability. *Pain*, 24 (3), 355-64.